UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/926,434	11/01/2001	Toshifumi Yamamoto	215511US2SPCT	3102	
22850 OBLON SPIN	7590 02/15/2007 /AK MCCLELLAND MA	AIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	OT P.C. EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE S	TREET	indica industribi, i.e.	215511US2SPCT 3102  EXAMINER  DANIEL JR, WILLIE J	, WILLIE J	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314			PAPER NUMBER	
			2617		
	Taylor or proposed	NOTIFICATION DATE	DEL IVED	WAYORF	
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MC	ONTHS	02/15/2007	ELECTRONIC		

#### Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 02/15/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•			
	09/926,434	YAMAMOTO, TOSHIFUM	II			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Willie J. Daniel, Jr.	2617.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -	•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te. cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	November 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			s is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	·	•				
4) Claim(s) 24,26-28,63,65 and 66 is/are pendir	ng in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
6) Claim(s) <u>24,26-28,63,65 and 66</u> is/are rejected	ed.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	=xaminer. Note the attache	of Office Action of form PTO-152				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ol>	•					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		n received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure		t rocaived				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies no	received.				
Attachment(s)	A) [] Into a day	Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5)  Notice of 6) Other: _	Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		·				

Application/Control Number: 09/926,434 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on 21 November 2006. Claims 24,
 26-28, 63, and 65-66 are now pending in the present application and claims 1-23, 25, 29-62,
 and 64 are canceled. This office action is made Final.

### Claim Objections

2. The objections applied to the claims are withdrawn, as the proposed claim corrections are approved.

# Specification

3. The objection applied to the specification is withdrawn, as the proposed specification correction is approved.

Art Unit: 2617

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 66, 24, 28, and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Larsson et al. (hereinafter Larsson) (US 6,697,638 B1).

Regarding claims 66 and 24, Larsson discloses a hand-held portable telephone (100) which reads on the claimed "mobile communication terminal" connectable to a vehicle mounted phone part (160 car kit) which reads on the claimed "an electronic device" (see Figs. 1-15), the mobile communication terminal (100) comprising:

a cellular transceiver (110) which reads on the claimed "first interface" for making radio communication with a cellular system (152) which reads on the claimed "mobile communication network" (see Fig. 1); and

a low power transceiver (120) which reads on the claimed "second interface" for making radio communication with the electronic device (160) (see Fig. 1);

wherein the connection control section starts a connection procedure with the electronic device (160) by transmitting a response signal that includes identification information of the mobile communication terminal (100) to the electronic device (160) to determine presence of a mobile communication terminal (100) within a radio area of the electronic device (160) is detected (see col. 4, lines 1-5; Figs. 1 and 4-7), and

Art Unit: 2617

automatically sets a communication mode to a hands-free mode if the connection procedure is completed (see col. 5, lines 51-57; col. 6, lines 13-15),

disconnects the connection with the electronic device (160) and sets the communication mode to its own communication mode if no packet, which is periodically output from the electronic device (160) for acknowledgement of the connection, is received for a predetermined time period (see col. 7, lines 35-41).

Regarding claim 28, the mobile communication terminal according to claim 24, wherein the connection control section transmits an authentication code to the car mounted electronic device (160) in the connection procedure via the second interface (120) (see Figs. 5, 7, and 9).

Regarding claim 63, the mobile communication terminal according to claim 28, wherein the connection control section transmits address information identifying the mobile communication terminal in the connection procedure (see col. 4, lines 1-5; Fig. 4).

vehicle;

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 24, 26-28, 63, and 65-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chennakeshu et al. (hereinafter Chennakeshu) (US 6,542,758 B1) in view of Raith (US 6,493,550 B1) and Chen et al. (hereinafter Chen) (US 5,751,719).

Regarding claims 24 and 65-66, Chennakeshu discloses a base unit (20) which reads

on the claimed "mobile communication terminal" connectable to a control unit (40) which reads on the claimed "car mounted electronic device" (see col. 3, line 60 - col. 4, line 3; col. 2, lines 47-51; Figs. 1-3, 5, 7, and 9), the mobile communication terminal comprising:

a RF transceiver (24) which read on the claimed "first interface" for making radio communication with a mobile communication network (see col. 4, lines 1-3, 11-16; col. 6, lines 8-11; Figs. 2 "ref. 24", 5, and 6 "ref. 103"), where the base unit (20) or handheld terminal (20) of the mobile phone system (10) can communicate with stations outside of the

a interface module (32) which reads on the "second interface" for making radio communication with the car mounted electronic device (see col. 4, lines 22-23, 60-64; Figs. 2 "ref. 32" and 3 "ref. 54"); and

Art Unit: 2617

a connection control section for controlling connection to the car mounted electronic device (20) (see col. 4, lines 16-22, 45-57; Figs. 2-3), where the system has control logic (26, 52) for controlling operation;

wherein the connection control section starts a connection procedure with the car mounted electronic device (40) by transmitting a response signal that includes attribute information of the mobile communication terminal (20) to the car mounted electronic device (40) (see col. 8, line 64 - col. 9, line 23; col. 8, lines 54-57)

when the car mounted electronic device (40) to determine presence of a mobile communication terminal (20) within a radio area of the car mounted electronic device (40) is detected (see col. 8, lines 54-57; col. 6, lines 31-42), and

sets communication mode in a hands-free mode automatically if the connection procedure is completed (see col. 6, lines 55-65). Chennakeshu does not specifically disclose having the features when a paging signal transmitted from the car mounted electronic device to determine presence of a mobile communication terminal within a radio area of the car mounted electronic device is detected, and disconnects the connection with the car mounted electronic device and sets the communication mode in its own communication mode if no packet, which is periodically output from the car mounted electronic device for acknowledgement of the connection, is received for a predetermined time period. However, the examiner maintains that the feature when a paging signal transmitted from the car mounted electronic device to determine presence of a mobile communication terminal within a radio area of the car mounted electronic device is detected was well known in the art, as taught by Raith.

In the same field of endeavor, Raith discloses the feature when a paging signal transmitted from the car mounted electronic device to determine presence of a mobile communication terminal within a radio area of the car mounted electronic device is detected (see col. 7, lines 1-13).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Chennakeshu and Raith to have the feature when a paging signal transmitted from the car mounted electronic device to determine presence of a mobile communication terminal within a radio area of the car mounted electronic device is detected, in order to detect the presence of a system, as taught by Raith (see col. 3, lines 1-3, 6-9, 47-51). The combination of Chennakeshu and Raith does not specifically disclose having the feature disconnects the connection with the car mounted electronic device and sets the communication mode in its own communication mode if no packet, which is periodically output from the car mounted electronic device for acknowledgement of the connection, is received for a predetermined time period. However, the examiner maintains that the feature disconnects the connection with the car mounted electronic device and sets the communication mode in its own communication mode if no packet, which is periodically output from the car mounted electronic device for acknowledgement of the connection, is received for a predetermined time period was well known in the art, as taught by Chen.

In the same field of endeavor, Chen discloses the feature disconnects the connection with the car mounted electronic device and sets the communication mode in its own communication mode if no packet, which is periodically output from the car mounted

Art Unit: 2617

electronic device for acknowledgement of the connection, is received for a predetermined time period (see col. 9, line 51 - col. 10, line 25).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Chennakeshu, Raith, and Chen to have the feature disconnects the connection with the car mounted electronic device and sets the communication mode in its own communication mode if no packet, which is periodically output from the car mounted electronic device for acknowledgement of the connection, is received for a predetermined time period, in order to detect a disconnect, as taught by Chen (see col. 2, lines 7-10, 13-17).

Regarding claim 26, the combination of Chennakeshu, Raith, and Chen discloses every limitation claimed, as applied above (see claim 24), in addition Chennakeshu further discloses the mobile communication terminal according to claim 24, further comprising an information transfer control section for transferring an incoming call to the car mounted electronic device (40) via the second interface (32) when the incoming call is received from the mobile communication network via the first interface (24) (see col. 4, lines 13-22, 42-57; Figs. 2-3).

Regarding claim 27, the combination of Chennakeshu, Raith, and Chen discloses every limitation claimed, as applied above (see claim 24), in addition Chennakeshu further discloses the mobile communication terminal according to claim 24, further comprising an information transfer control section configured to transfer an outgoing call to the mobile communication network via the first interface (24) when the outgoing call is received from

Art Unit: 2617

the car mounted electronic device (40) via the second interface (32) (see col. 4, lines 13-22, 42-57; Figs. 2-3).

Regarding **claims 28**, the combination of Chennakeshu, Raith, and Chen discloses every limitation claimed, as applied above (see claim 24), in addition Chennakeshu further discloses the mobile communication terminal according to claim 24, wherein the connection control section transmits an authentication code to the car mounted electronic device (40) in the connection procedure via the second interface (32) (see col. 8, lines 18-64), where the system has a unique identification number for authorized users.

Regarding claims 63, the combination of Chennakeshu, Raith, and Chen discloses every limitation claimed, as applied above (see claim 28), in addition Chennakeshu further discloses the mobile communication terminal according to claim 28, wherein the connection control section transmits address information identifying the mobile communication terminal in the connection procedure (see col. 8, lines 18-64), where the system has a unique identification number for authorized users.

Art Unit: 2617

#### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 24, 26-28, 63, and 65-66 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated by the new limitations and claims.

In response to applicant's arguments, the Examiner respectfully disagrees as the applied reference(s) provide more than adequate support and to further clarify (see the above claims for relevant citations).

7. Applicant amended the claim language but failed to provide support (i.e., page(s), line(s), and drawing(s)) for the newly amended claim language. The Examiner requests applicant to provide support for the response filed 21 November 2006 and any further amended claim language.

#### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2617

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of

Page 11

this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Willie J. Daniel, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-

7907. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Charles Appiah can be reached on (571) 272-7904. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access

to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197

(toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or

access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or

571-272-1000.

/WJD,JR/

WJD,JR

06 February 2007

CHARLES APPIAH